

# CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

---

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation  
Case No. CV96-4849

## **Certified Award**

to Claimant [REDACTED]  
represented by [REDACTED]

## **in re Account of Maurycy Kurzrok**

Claim Number: 201820/MBC

Award Amount: 162,500.00 Swiss Francs

This Certified Award is based upon the claim of [REDACTED] (the “Claimant”) to the published account of Maurycy Kurzrok (the “Account Owner”), over which Regina Kurzrok (the “Power of Attorney Holder”) held power of attorney, at the Zurich branch of the [REDACTED] (the “Bank”).

All awards are published, but where a claimant has requested confidentiality, as in this case, the names of the claimant, any relatives of the claimant other than the account owner, and the bank have been redacted.

## **Information Provided by the Claimant**

The Claimant submitted a Claim Form identifying the Account Owner as his paternal uncle, Maurycy Kurzrok. In a telephone conversation with the CRT on 5 August 2002, the Claimant indicated that his uncle was born in approximately 1905 in Brzezany, Austria (now in the Ukraine), and was married to Regina Kurzrok. The Claimant further stated that his uncle, who was Jewish, was a lawyer in Brzezany. According to the Claimant, his uncle was deported to a ghetto in 1939, where he later perished. In support of his claim, the Claimant submitted his Canadian citizenship certificate indicating his last name as [REDACTED]. The Claimant indicated that he was born on 15 March 1917 in Woloczesk, Russia.

The Claimant previously submitted two Initial Questionnaires with the Court in 1999, asserting his entitlement to Swiss bank accounts belonging to his cousins, [REDACTED] from the

Ukraine, and [REDACTED], from Jezierna, Poland.<sup>1</sup>

### **Information Available in the Bank's Records**

The Bank's records consist of a power of attorney form, dated 14 October 1931, and printouts from the Bank's database. According to these records, the Account Owner was Maurycy Kurzrok, who resided in Brzezany, Poland, and the Power of Attorney Holder was *Frau* (Mrs.) Regina Kurzrok, who resided in Brzezany. The Bank's records indicate that the Account Owner held a custody account.<sup>2</sup>

The Bank's records do not show when the account at issue was closed, nor do these records indicate the value of this account. The auditors who carried out the investigation of this bank to identify accounts of Victims of Nazi Persecution pursuant to instructions of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons ("ICEP" or the "ICEP Investigation") did not find this account in the Bank's system of open accounts, and they therefore presumed that it was closed. These auditors indicated that there was no evidence of activity on this account after 1945. There is no evidence in the Bank's records that the Account Owner, the Power of Attorney Holder, or their heirs closed the account and received the proceeds themselves.

### **The CRT's Analysis**

#### Identification of the Account Owner

The Claimant's uncle's and aunt's names and city of residence match the published names and city of residence of the Account Owner and the Power of Attorney Holder.

The CRT notes that the Claimant filed an Initial Questionnaire with the Court in 1999, asserting his entitlement to a Swiss bank account owned by his cousin with the same last name as the Account Owner, prior to the publication in February 2001 of the list of accounts determined by ICEP to be probably or possibly those of Victims of Nazi Persecution (the "ICEP List"). This indicates that the Claimant has based his present claim not simply on the fact that an individual identified on the ICEP List as owning a Swiss bank account bears the same name as his relative, but indicates that the Claimant had reason to believe that his relatives owned Swiss bank

---

<sup>1</sup> The CRT did not locate an account belonging to the Claimant's relatives, [REDACTED] or [REDACTED], in the Account History Database prepared pursuant to the investigation of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons ("ICEP" or "ICEP Investigation"), which identified accounts probably or possibly belonging to Victims of Nazi Persecution, as defined in the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules"). The Claimant should be aware that the CRT will carry out further research on his claim to determine whether an award may be made based upon the information provided by the Claimant or upon information from other sources.

<sup>2</sup> The Bank's records contain a power of attorney form that references a "*Titeldepot*," which is a custody account. Such forms were typically used by the Bank at the time regardless of whether the account in question was in fact a custody account. Although this power of attorney form therefore does not necessarily demonstrate that the Account Owner held a custody account, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the CRT concludes that it is plausible that he held such an account.

accounts prior to the publication of the ICEP List. This supports the credibility of the information provided by the Claimant.

Finally, the CRT notes that the other claim to this account was disconfirmed because that claimant did not identify the Power of Attorney Holder. Taking all of these factors into account, the CRT concludes that the Claimant has plausibly identified the Account Owner.

#### Status of the Account Owner as a Victim of Nazi Persecution

The Claimant has made a plausible showing that the Account Owner was a Victim of Nazi Persecution. The Claimant stated that the Account Owner was Jewish, and that he perished in a ghetto.

#### The Claimant's Relationship to the Account Owner

The Claimant has plausibly demonstrated that he is related to the Account Owner by submitting specific information, demonstrating that the Account Owner was his uncle. The CRT notes that the Claimant filed an Initial Questionnaire with the Court in 1999, asserting his entitlement to a Swiss bank account owned by a member of his family with the last name Kurzrock. The CRT further notes that the Claimant submitted his Canadian citizenship certificate indicating his last name [REDACTED], which provides independent verification that the Claimant and his relatives bear the same family name as the Account Owner. This supports the plausibility that the Claimant is related to the Account Owner, as he has asserted in his Claim Form.

There is no evidence to indicate that the Account Owner has other surviving heirs.

#### The Issue of Who Received the Proceeds

Given that the Account Owner was deported to a ghetto, where he perished; that there is no record of the payment of the Account Owner's account to him nor any record of a date of closure; that the Account Owner or his heirs would not have been able to obtain information about his account after the Second World War from the Bank due to the Swiss banks' practice of withholding or misstating account information in their responses to inquiries by account owners because of the banks' concern regarding double liability; and given the application of Presumptions (h) and (j), as provided in Article 28 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules") (see Appendix A), the CRT concludes that it is plausible that the account proceeds were not paid to the Account Owner, the Power of Attorney Holder or their heirs. Based on its precedent and the Rules, the CRT applies presumptions to assist in the determination of whether or not Account Owners or their heirs received the proceeds of their accounts.

#### Basis for the Award

The CRT has determined that an Award may be made in favor of the Claimant. First, the claim is admissible in accordance with the criteria contained in Article 18 of the Rules. Second, the Claimant has plausibly demonstrated that the Account Owner was his uncle, and that relationship

justifies an Award. Third, the CRT has determined that it is plausible that neither the Account Owner, the Power of Attorney Holder, nor their heirs received the proceeds of the claimed account.

#### Amount of the Award

In this case, the Account Owner held one custody account. Pursuant to Article 29 of the Rules, when the value of an account is unknown, as is the case here, the average value of the same or a similar type of account in 1945 is used to calculate the current value of the account being awarded. Based on the ICEP Investigation, in 1945 the average value of a custody account was 13,000.00 Swiss Francs (“SF”). The current value of this amount is calculated by multiplying it by a factor of 12.5, in accordance with Article 31(1) of the Rules, to produce a total award amount of SF 162,500.00.

#### **Scope of the Award**

The Claimant should be aware that, pursuant to Article 20 of the Rules, the CRT will carry out further research on his claim to determine whether there are additional Swiss bank accounts to which he might be entitled, including research of the Total Accounts Database (consisting of records of 4.1 million Swiss bank accounts which existed between 1933 and 1945).

#### **Certification of the Award**

The CRT certifies this Award for approval by the Court and payment by the Special Masters.

Claims Resolution Tribunal  
13 May 2005